From: Sent: Dan Morelli [danintaiwan@yahoo.com] Monday, October 27, 2003 3:36 PM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dan Morelli 1702 N. Oakes Tacoma, WA 98406 USA

From: Sent: Robert Whitson [rdwhitson@txis.net] Monday, October 27, 2003 3:30 PM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in LTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Robert Whitson 2901 Stanley Avenue Fort Worth, TX 76110 USA

From: Sent: Chris Kelleher [cfkelleher@adelphia.net] Tuesday, November 04, 2003 3:29 PM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 4, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Chris Kelleher 2221 Grant Ave., #B Redondo Beach, CA 90278 USA

From:

deWally@LMi.net

Sent:

Monday, November 03, 2003 3:20 PM

To: KAQuinn

Subject:

FCC NPRM 02-230 Comment

<PROCEEDING> 02-230 <DATE> 11/03/03 <DOCUMENT-TYPE> CO <NAME> Wallace Gorell <CONTACT-EMAIL> deWally@LMi.net <ADDRESS1> 2403 Virginia Street <CITY> Berkeley <STATE> CA <ZIP> 94709 <PHONE> <DESCRIPTION> *NPRM-02-230 Comment*

<TEXT> The broadcast flag is a very bad, very un-American idea. Hollywood and content producers must not be allowed to determine the rights of the public to use flexible information technology. Do not implement the broadcast flag!!!!! ...

From:

uniteGA@aol.com

Sent:

Monday, November 03, 2003 12:19 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

FCC NPRM 02-230 Comment

<PROCEEDING> 02-230
<DATE> 11/03/03
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> CO
<NAME> Sandra Stimpson
<CONTACT-EMAIL> uniteGA@aol.com
<ADDRESS1> 27 Prestwick Ct.
<CITY> Peachtree City
<STATE> GA
<ZIP> 30269
<PHONE> 770-631-7011

<DESCRIPTION> *NPRM-02-230 Comment*

<TEXT> I am opposed to the new regulation you are considering, NPRM 02-230. This broadcast flag is unnecessary and unenforceable. Once again the government will make criminals out of ordinary citizens and teach them not to respect the law.

Please vote against this proposal.

Sincerely, Sandra Stimpson

From:

stox@imagescape.com

Sent:

Monday, November 03, 2003 12:12 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

FCC NPRM 02-230 Comment

<PROCEEDING> 02-230 <DATE> 11/03/03 <DOCUMENT-TYPE> CO <NAME> Kenneth P. Stox <CONTACT-EMAIL> stox@imagescape.com <ADDRESS1> 53 59th Street <CITY> Downers Grove <STATE> IL <ZIP> 60516 <PHONE> <DESCRIPTION> *NPRM-02-230 Comment*

<TEXT> I strongly object to the imposition of the "Broadcast Flag." It will do nothing to impede illegal bootlegging of copyrighted material, while greatly diminishing fair use of such material. Please remember that our Nation's copyright and patent laws are designed to enhance the public's access to material, not impede it.

From: Sent: Peter Northup [pnorthup@hotmail.com] Monday, November 03, 2003 12:08 PM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 3, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a proadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Peter Northup 520 E. 20th St., #3A New York, NY 10009 USA

From:

Troy Fore [me@hofo.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 03, 2003 10:32 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 3, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Troy Fore 1513 Marbut Avenue Atlanta, GA 30316

From:

Andrew Barbieri [barbieriandrew@hotmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 03, 2003 9:25 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 3, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Andrew Barbieri 969 Center Hill Rd. PO Box 388 Copake, NY 12516 USA

From: Sent: Jimmy McConnell [jimmy@prophetweb.com]

Monday, November 03, 2003 9:21 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 3, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jimmy McConnell 305 S. Crockett Edgewood, TX 75117

From: Sent: Alfred Vazquez (ajv3@geneseo.edu] Monday, November 03, 2003 8:55 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 3, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time, consideration, and efforts toward protecting our rights as US citizens.

Sincerely,

Alfred Vazquez 5695 W. Lake Rd Apt# 2 Conesus, NY 14435

From: Sent: Barrett Frazier [bfrazier@tampabay.rr.com] Monday, November 03, 2003 8:39 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 3, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Barrett Frazier 5104 E 127th Ave Tampa, FL 33617 USA

From: Sent: Steven Shapiro [sshap23@yahoo.com] Monday, November 03, 2003 8:00 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 3, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Steven Shapiro 2238 Bristol Pike Bensalem, PA 19020

From: Sent: Joe Germuska [joe@germuska.com] Monday, November 03, 2003 6:56 AM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 3, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein.

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Joe Germuska 1417 W. Jonquil Ter. #1 Chicago, IL 60626

From: Sent: To: David Bethune [dbethune@comcast.net] Monday, November 03, 2003 4:46 AM

Michael Copps

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 3, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps.

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

David Bethune 6234 N Rockglen Rd Tucson, AZ 85704

From:

Scott Rinehart [claudius_flauberius_backup@hotmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 03, 2003 2:54 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 2, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Scott Rinehart 1264 Wheeling Ave Zanesville, OH 43701 USA

From:

jstankavage@hvc.rr.com

Sent:

Sunday, November 02, 2003 11:09 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

FCC NPRM 02-230 Comment

<PROCEEDING> 02-230 <DATE> 11/02/03 <DOCUMENT-TYPE> CO <NAME> Joseph Stankavage <CONTACT-EMAIL> jstankavage@hvc.rr.com <ADDRESS1> 59 South Clinton St. <CITY> Poughkeepsie <STATE> NY <ZIP> 12601 <PHONE> <DESCRIPTION> *NPRM-02-230 Comment* <TEXT> Dear FCC:

I object to the proposed rule NPRM 02-230. Once again you are catering to private interests while discounting the public interest which you were created to serve. I believe the "Broadcast Flag" proposal is short-sighted and will result in the following things:

1. Create a black market for fully functional digital television devices which will needlessly burden law enforcement officials. 2. Line the pockets of greedy corporate media executives. 3. Create another barrier to public access to the airways and provide a legal vehicle for censorship of independent (non-corporate) voices, ideas and broadcast content. 4. Generally limit the free flow of information.

These items are in addition to the obvious fact that this proposed rule is not in the public interest.

Honestly, who is responsible for devising these ideas which ignore the basic mandate of your organization - to serve the PUBLIC INTEREST!!! What majority of the public believes this is a good idea? How is this going to benefit anyone but private corporations? Has anyone within your organization considered the long term effects of this proposed rule? Has anyone within your organization asked these vitally important questions?!?!? Has anyone asked the public what they think?! If not, then why not?

I see this proposed rule as yet another reason to remove the current FCC chairman. He is a disgrace to your organization and makes a mockery of his office, the FCC, and the intended goal of government regulation in the public interest.

Sincerely, Joseph Stankavage.

From: Sent: Brian Sanders [bsanders4@yahoo.com] Sunday, November 02, 2003 9:57 PM

To: Subject: KAQuinn
I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 2, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Brian Sanders PO Box 1456 Lakeville, CT 06039 USA

From: Sent: frederic Benevoli [azabou@hotmail.com] Monday, December 08, 2003 9:05 PM

To: KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

December 8, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

frederic Benevoli 7045 Peach ave Van Nuys, CA 91406 USA

From:

Joseph Salthouse [jsalthouse@adelphia.net]

Sent:

Friday, December 05, 2003 9:50 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

December 5, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Joseph Salthouse 1062 Leslie Court Colton, CA 92324 USA

From: Sent: Lang Collins [lang_collins@ameritech.net] Monday, December 01, 2003 8:32 AM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

December 1, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Lang Collins 20197 Charest Detroit, MI 48234 USA

From: Sent: James Lee [jal5000@earthlink.net] Friday, November 28, 2003 12:36 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 28, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

James Lee 15933 Butterfield Street Fountain Valley, CA 92708

From: Sent: Joseph Mosher [josephmosher@yahoo.com] Tuesday, November 25, 2003 7:19 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 25, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Joseph Mosher 4410 Frontera Drive Davis, CA 95616

From: Sent: James Adamson [jka@kickinit.net] Monday, November 24, 2003 1:13 PM

To:

Michael Copps

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 24, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

James Adamson 1219 Deer Ridge Duncanville, TX 75137 USA

From: Sent: Steven Caddy [eff@vanillacircus.net] Sunday, November 23, 2003 10:11 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

November 23, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Steven Caddy 26 Musgrove Mews Kensington, 3031 Australia